arXiv:1501.07587v3 [math.RT] 26 Jun 2015

Test vectors for local cuspidal Rankin–Selberg integrals of GL(n), and reduction modulo ℓ

Robert KURINCZUK[*], Nadir MATRINGE[†]

Abstract

Let π_1, π_2 be a pair of cuspidal complex, or ℓ-adic, representations of the general linear group of rank n over a non-archimedean local field F of residual characteristic p, different to ℓ. Whenever the local Rankin–Selberg L-factor $L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2)$ is nontrivial, we exhibit explicit test vectors in the Whittaker models of π_1 and π_2 such that the local Rankin–Selberg integral associated to these vectors and to the characteristic function of $\mathfrak{o}_F^n$ is equal to $L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2)$. We give an initial application of the test vectors to reduction modulo ℓ of ℓ-adic L-factors.

1 Introduction

The integral representation of local L-factors, of pairs of complex irreducible representations of general linear groups over a non-archimedean local field F, was developed in the fundamental paper [6] of Jacquet–Piatetski-Shapiro–Shalika. These L-factors are Euler factors which are the greatest common divisors, in a certain sense, of families of integrals I of Whittaker functions. For $n \geqslant m$, as a by-product of the definition, if π_1 and π_2 are irreducible smooth complex (or ℓ-adic) representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ with respective Whittaker models $W(\pi_1, \psi)$ and $W(\pi_2, \psi^{-1})$, extended to all irreducible representations via the Langland's classification, then it is known that there is a finite number r of Whittaker functions $W_i \in W(\pi_1, \psi)$ and $W_i' \in W(\pi_2, \psi^{-1})$, and a finite number of Schwartz functions Φ_i on F^n if $n = m$, such that the L-factor $L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2)$ can be expressed as $\sum_{i=1}^r I(X, W_i, W_i')$, or $\sum_{i=1}^r I(X, W_i, W_i', \Phi_i)$ when $n = m$. A natural question which thus arises is whether one can find an explicit family of such *test vectors*.

A famous instance of test vectors is the essential vectors for generic representations. Such vectors are known to be test vectors for $L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2)$ when π_1 is a generic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, π_2 is an unramified generic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_m(F)$, and $n > m$, and this property is used in [7] to show the stability of Rankin-Selberg L-factors under highly ramified twists. A different application is found in the study of arithmetic and algebraic properties of special values of automorphic L-functions (see [1, Section 2] and [5, Section 4]). Thus a complete theory of local test vectors is desirable.

Interesting partial results have been obtained in [8], and, as indicated in [ibid.], the theory of derivatives and its interpretation in terms of restriction of Whittaker functions (cf. [4], [10]) should reduce the general problem to the cuspidal case. Here, we establish the cuspidal case: that for pairs of cuspidal representations π_1 and π_2, we can choose $r = 1$, and moreover, we exhibit explicit test vectors, in the interesting case, whenever $L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2)$ is not equal to one. The fact that r can be chosen to be 1 when $L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2) = 1$, for any pair of irreducible representations π_1 and π_2

---

[*] Robert Kurinczuk, Heilbronn Institute for Mathematical Research, Department of Mathematics, University of Bristol, BS8 1TW, United Kingdom. Email: robkurinczuk@gmail.com

[†] Nadir Matringe, Université de Poitiers, Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Applications, Téléport 2 - BP 30179, Boulevard Marie et Pierre Curie, 86962, Futuroscope Chasseneuil Cedex. Email: Nadir.Matringe@math.univ-poitiers.fr

of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, is explained in the proof of [6, Theorem 2.7] and follows from standard facts on Kirillov models. We do not provide explicit test functions in this case, possibly a quite technical problem, and we do not consider this case in the sequel, as it is not needed for our application to reduction modulo ℓ.

Now we state our main theorem. Let π_1 and π_2 be cuspidal complex, or ℓ-adic, representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ such that $L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2)$ is non-trivial, so that $\pi_2 \simeq \chi\pi_1^\vee$ for some unramified character χ of $F^\times$. Let e denote the common ramification index of π_1 and π_2 (see Section 3), and q be the cardinality of the residue field of F. We denote by W_1 and W_2 the explicit Whittaker functions for π_1 and π_2, as constructed in [13], with respect to a suitable nondegenerate character of the standard maximal unipotent subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ and suitable maximal extended simple types in π_1 and π_2.

Theorem 5.1. There is a normalisation of the Haar measure on $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, such that

$$I(X, W_1, W_2, 1_{\mathfrak{o}_F^n}) = (q-1)(q^{n/e}-1)\frac{1}{1-(\chi(\varpi_F)X)^{n/e}} = (q-1)(q^{n/e}-1)L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2).$$

We now describe the proof of this theorem. In Section 3, we carefully choose an appropriate basis of F^n and simple types in our cuspidal representations, so that the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ defined by these simple types decomposes well with respect to the Iwasawa decomposition and satisfies some other important properties (see Proposition 3.1). In Section 4, we analyse the support of the explicit Whittaker functions of Paskunas and Stevens in terms of this well chosen group (Proposition 4.5). This preparation, which constitutes a substantial amount of the path to our main result, then allows us to compute the integral in Section 5. Note that, initially we fix a normalisation of Haar measure in Section 2.2, as for our application to reduction modulo ℓ some care needs to be taken with the normalisation.

Let p be the residual charactersitic of F. Our interest in test vectors originated in the the study of ℓ-modular Rankin–Selberg L-factors, for $\ell \neq p$, as introduced in [9]. If π_1 and π_2 are integral cuspidal ℓ-adic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_m(F)$, and $\tau_1 = r_\ell(\pi_1)$ and $\tau_2 = r_\ell(\pi_2)$ their reductions modulo ℓ, which are cuspidal ℓ-modular representations, then the local factor $L(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ always divides $r_\ell(L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2))$. In particular, $L(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) = r_\ell(L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2)) = 1$ whenever $L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2) = 1$. Hence the interesting case, where a strict division can happen is when $L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2)$ is not equal to 1, and, in particular, $n = m$. In [11], it was shown that for banal representations the ℓ-modular Godement–Jacquet L-factor is equal to the reduction modulo ℓ of the ℓ-adic Godement–Jacquet L-factor. It is thus natural to ask: if π_1 and π_2 are ℓ-adic integral cuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ with banal reductions τ_1 and τ_2, does one have $L(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) = r_\ell(L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2))$? As a corollary of our main result on test vectors applied to ℓ-adic Rankin-Selberg integrals, we answer this question in the affirmative.

Corollary 6.1. Let τ_1 and τ_2 be two banal cuspidal ℓ-modular representations of G_n, and π_1 and π_2 be any cuspidal ℓ-adic lifts, then

$$L(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) = r_\ell(L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2)).$$

In [9], this corollary plays a key role in the classification of L-factors of generic ℓ-modular representations, and their relationship with ℓ-adic L-factors via reduction modulo ℓ.

It would be interesting to pursue the methods of this paper for integral representations of other L-factors, such as the Asai, exterior square, and symmetric square L-factors, for example to show cuspidal stability under highly ramified twists of the corresponding ϵ-factors.

Acknowledgements. We thank Paul Broussous and Shaun Stevens for stimulating discussions, and Jim Cogdell for bringing the test vector problem to our attention. This work has benefited from support from the Heilbronn Institute for Mathematical Research, and from the grant ANR-13-BS01-0012 FERPLAY.

2 Preliminaries

Let F be a non-archimedean local field of residual characteristic p and residual cardinality q. For E any extension of F, we denote by $\mathfrak{o}_E$ the ring of integers of E, by ϖ_E a uniformiser of E, by $\mathfrak{p}_E = (\varpi_E)$ the unique maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{o}_E$, and by $|\ |_E$ the normalised absolute value on E.

Let $G_n = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, $K_n = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o}_F)$, $K_n^1 = 1 + \mathrm{Mat}_{n,n}(\mathfrak{p}_F)$, and let Z_n be the centre of G_n. Put $\eta_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n,1}(F)$, and let P_n be the standard mirabolic subgroup of G_n, i.e. the set of all matrices g in G_n such that $\eta_n g = \eta_n$. Let N_n be the unipotent radical of the standard Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $G_n^{(k)} = \{g \in G_n : |\det(g)|_F = q^{-k}\}$. For any subset X of G_n, let $X^{(k)} = X \cap G_n^{(k)}$, and let $\mathbf{1}_X$ denote the characteristic function of X.

Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ denote an algebraic closure of the ℓ-adic numbers, $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ denote its ring of integers, and $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ denote its residue field which is an algebraic closure of the finite field of ℓ-elements.

2.1 Representations with coefficients in R

Throughout, R will denote one of the fields $\mathbb{C}$, $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$, and $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$. We only consider smooth R-representations, that is smooth representations with coefficients in R, and we use $\vee$ as an exponent to denote the contragredient. We call a representation on a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$-vector space an *$\ell$-adic representation*, and a representation on an $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$-vector space an *$\ell$-modular representation*. Let $(\pi, \mathcal{V})$ be an irreducible ℓ-adic representation of G_n. We call π *integral* if $\mathcal{V}$ contains a G_n-stable $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$-lattice Λ.

An R-representation is called *cuspidal* (resp. *supercuspidal*) if it is irreducible and never appears as a quotient (resp. subquotient) of a properly parabolically induced representation. By [14, II 4.12], a cuspidal ℓ-adic representation is integral if and only if its central character takes values in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}^\times$, hence its contragredient is integral as well. Let π be an integral cuspidal ℓ-adic representation with lattice Λ. Let $r_\ell(\pi)$ be the ℓ-modular representation induced on the space $\Lambda \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}} \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$, and call $r_\ell(\pi)$ the *reduction modulo ℓ* of π, as it is independent of the choice of Λ by [15, Theorem 1]. This ℓ-modular representation is also cuspidal (and irreducible) by [14, III 5.10]. We say that π *lifts* $r_\ell(\pi)$, and it follows from [loc. cit.] that all cuspidal ℓ-modular representations lift. Following [12], we call a cuspidal ℓ-modular representation τ *banal* if $\tau \not\simeq |\ |_F \otimes \tau$. For H a closed subgroup of G, we write Ind_H^G for the functor of smooth induction taking representations of H to representations of G, and write ind_H^G for the functor of smooth induction with compact support.

2.2 Normalisation of Haar measures

We now discuss our normalisation of Haar measures. The basic reference for R-Haar measures is [15, I 2], but we also refer the reader to [9, Section 2.2] for more details on the splitting of Haar measures with respect to standard decompositions. Let dg be the Haar measure on G_n normalised by to give K_n^1 volume 1. For compact open subgroups of G_n, we will just restrict this Haar measure. We normalise the Haar measure on P_n so that $dp\left(P_n \cap K_n^1\right) = 1$. If $H < G$ are two closed subgroups of G_n, with respective Haar measures dh and dg, we descend dg on $H\backslash G$ as explained in [15, I 2.8]. As for $f = \mathbf{1}_{K_n^1}$, one checks that the map $f^{P_n \cap K_n}$ on G_n defined as $f^{P_n \cap K_n}(g) = \int_{K_n \cap P_n} f(pg)dp$, satisfies $f^{P_n \cap K_n} = \mathbf{1}_{(P_n \cap K_n)K_n^1}$, and we have

$$dk\left((P_n \cap K_n^1)\backslash K_n^1\right) = dk\left((P_n \cap K_n)\backslash(P_n \cap K_n)K_n^1\right) = 1,$$

with dk the Haar measure on $(P_n \cap K_n)\backslash K_n$. We normalise the Haar measure on Z_n by $dz\left(Z_n \cap K_n^1\right) = 1$. With these normalisations, we have the splitting

$$dg = dp dz dk.$$

Notice that with such normalisations, the volume of all pro-p subgroups of G_n, of P_n and of Z_n will be (positive or negative) powers of q. Moreover, for such choices, reduction modulo ℓ commutes with integration, i.e. if $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(X, \overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell})$ for X equal to G_n or one of its subquotients considered above, then $\int_X f(x)dx \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$, and

$$r_\ell\left(\int_X f(x)dx\right) = \int_X r_\ell(f(x))dx.$$

2.3 Local factors

Let θ be a nondegenerate character of N_n, which is necessarily integral in the ℓ-adic case (as N_n is exhausted by its pro-p subgroups). If π is a cuspidal representation of G_n, then it is *generic* (cf. [2] in the complex case, and [15] for a general R), meaning $\dim(\mathrm{Hom}_{N_n}(\pi, \theta)) = 1$, and hence it has a unique *Whittaker model* $W(\pi, \theta)$, equal to the image of π in $\mathrm{Ind}_{N_n}^{G_n}(\theta)$. Suppose that π is an integral cuspidal ℓ-adic representation of G_n, then the $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$-submodule $W_e(\pi, \theta)$ of $W(\pi, \theta)$ consisting of all functions in $W(\pi, \theta)$ which take values in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ is a G_n-stable lattice in π such that $W(r_\ell(\pi), r_\ell(\theta)) = W_e(\pi, \theta) \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}} \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ (cf. [15, Theorem 2]). For $W \in W_e(\pi, \theta)$, we write $r_\ell(W)$ for the image of W in $W(r_\ell(\pi), r_\ell(\theta))$.

Finally, we recall the definition of the Rankin–Selberg local L-factors for a pair of cuspidal R-representations of G_n. Let π_1 and π_2 be cuspidal representations of G_n, $W_1 \in W(\pi_1, \theta)$, $W_2 \in W(\pi_2, \theta^{-1})$, and $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^n)$ be a smooth function from F^n to R with compact support. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$c_k(W_1, W_2, \Phi) = \int_{N_n \backslash G_n^{(k)}} W_1(g)W_2(g)\Phi(\eta_n g)dg.$$

Let

$$I(X, W_1, W_2, \Phi) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} c_k(W_1, W_2, \Phi)X^k$$

denote the *local Rankin–Selberg integral*, (cf. [9, Proposition 3.3, Definition 3.4] in this setting, [6] originally for complex representations). As in [9, Definition 4.4,Proposition 4.5], we will also set

$$b_k(W_1, W_2) = \int_{N_n \backslash P_n^{(k)}} W_1(p)W_2(p)dp,$$

and

$$I_{(0)}(X, W_1, W_2) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} b_k(W_1, W_2)q^k X^k.$$

In particular, if Φ is K_n-invariant, setting

$$Z(X, \Phi) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{z\in G_1^{(nk)}} \Phi(\eta_n z)dz\right) X^{kn},$$

we have

$$I(X, W_1, W_2, \Phi) = Z(X, \Phi)\left(\int_{(K_n\cap P_n)\backslash K_n} I_{(0)}(X, \rho(k)W_1, \rho(k)W_2)dk\right),$$

from which we deduce the formula

$$I(X, W_1, W_2, \mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{o}_F^n}) = \frac{q-1}{1-X^n}\int_{(K_n\cap P_n)\backslash K_n} I_{(0)}(X, \rho(k)W_1, \rho(k)W_2)dk, \tag{1}$$

(cf. [11, Theorem 3.1] for this standard fact on Tate L-factors). By [9, Theorem 3.5], as W_1 varies in $W(\pi_1, \theta)$, W_2 varies in $W(\pi_2, \theta^{-1})$, and Φ varies in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^n)$, the R-submodule of $R(X)$ spanned by $I(X, W_1, W_2, \Phi)$ is a fractional ideal of $R[X^{\pm1}]$, and has a unique generator $L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2)$ which is an Euler factor. We call $L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2)$ the *local Rankin–Selberg L-factor*, and note that it does not depend on the choice of the character θ. If $R = \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$, due to [9, Corollary 3.6], the L-factor is the inverse of a polynomial in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}[X]$, and it makes sense to talk of its reduction modulo ℓ.

3 Simple types and their groups

For this Section we assume that $R = \mathbb{C}$ or $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$. Let V be an n-dimensional F-vector space, let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the F-algebra $\mathrm{End}_F(V)$ of F-endomorphisms of V and let G denote the group $\mathrm{Aut}_F(V)$ of F-automorphisms of V. Hence G identifies with G_n as soon as we choose a basis of V. By [3, Chapter 6], any cuspidal R-representation of G is compactly induced $\pi \simeq \mathrm{ind}_{\mathbf{J}}^{G}(\Lambda)$, where $\mathbf{J}$ is an open and compact-mod-centre subgroup of G, and Λ is an irreducible representation of $\mathbf{J}$ of finite dimension.

According to Chapter 6 of [ibid.], we can choose the pair $(\mathbf{J}, \Lambda)$ to be an *extended maximal simple type*, which is what we do. In this case $\mathbf{J}$ has a unique maximal compact subgroup J, given by

$$J = \mathbf{J}^0 = \{j \in \mathbf{J},\ |\det(j)|_F = 1\}.$$

To describe the group $\mathbf{J}$ further, we need the following data (associated to $\mathbf{J}$ in [ibid.]): an embedding of a field extension E of F in $\mathcal{A}$, this makes V an E-vector space, and an $\mathfrak{o}_E$-lattice chain $\mathcal{L} = (L_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in V such that $\varpi_E L_k = L_{k+1}$, this last condition required as the simple type is maximal. We set $d = [E : F]$, $e = e(E/F)$ the ramification index of E over F, and $m = n/d$. We denote by $\mathfrak{A}$ the $\mathfrak{o}_F$-module

$$\mathfrak{A} = \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{o}_F}(\mathcal{L}) = \bigcap_k \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{o}_F}(L_k),$$

and by $\mathfrak{B}$ the $\mathfrak{o}_E$-module

$$\mathfrak{B} = \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{o}_E}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathrm{End}_{\mathfrak{o}_E}(L_0),$$

so that $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{A}$. Then $\mathbf{J}$ is a semi-direct product $\mathbf{J} = \langle \varpi_E \rangle \ltimes J$, and $J \subset \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathfrak{o}_F}(L_0)$. Moreover J has an open normal pro-p subgroup J^1, such that $J = \mathfrak{B}^\times J^1$, $\mathfrak{B}^\times \cap J^1 = 1 + \varpi_E \mathfrak{B}$, and $J/J^1 \simeq \mathfrak{B}^\times/(1 + \varpi_E \mathfrak{B}) \simeq G_m(k_E)$. Note that, $\mathfrak{B}^\times$ normalises J^1, by [3, 3.1.11]. Denoting by λ the restriction of Λ to J, the pair (J, λ) is a *maximal simple type* as defined in [3, Chapter 5]. By [ibid.], the restriction of λ to J^1 is a multiple of an irreducible representation η and we can decompose

$$\lambda = \kappa \otimes \sigma,$$

with κ an extension of η to J with maximal intertwining in G (called a *β-extension*), and σ an irreducible cuspidal representation of $J/J^1 \simeq G_m(k_E)$. The cuspidal representation π determines $(\mathbf{J}, \Lambda)$, as well as (J, λ), up to conjugation in G.

Let θ be a non-trivial character of F of level 1, which is necessarily integral in the ℓ-adic case. According to [13, Corollaries 3.4 and 4.13], there exists an F-basis $\mathcal{B} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ of V which splits $\mathcal{L}$, i.e. such that $L_k = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_F^{a_i(k)} v_i$ with $a_i(k) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and is such that if N is the maximal unipotent subgroup of G attached to the maximal flag defined by $\mathcal{B}$, and if ψ is the non-degenerate character of N defined by the formula

$$\psi : n \mapsto \theta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{Mat}_{\mathcal{B}}(n_{i,i+1})\right),$$

then the triple (J, Λ, ψ) satisfies

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{N \cap J}(\psi, \Lambda) \neq 0.$$

Let P be the *mirabolic subgroup* defined by $P = \{g \in G, (g - Id)V \subset \mathrm{Vect}_F(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the group $(P \cap J)J^1$, which is equal to $(P \cap \mathfrak{B}^\times)J^1$ by [13, Corollary 4.8]. By [loc. cit.] and the discussion after the proof of [13, Lemma 4.10], the image of $\mathcal{M}$ in $J/J^1 \simeq G_m(k_E)$ is isomorphic to $P_m(k_E)$. In particular, we will use the following fact:

$$|J/\mathcal{M}| = |G_m(k_E)/P_m(k_E)| = q_E^m - 1.$$

Up to renumbering the $\mathfrak{o}_E$-lattice sequence $\mathcal{L}$ by a translation, $k \mapsto k-m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can suppose that

$$a_0(n) = a_{-1}(n) + 1 = 0,$$

as for a fixed $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the maps $i \mapsto a_i(k)$ satisfy $a_{i+1}(k) \geqslant a_i(k)$ and $a_{i+e}(k) = a_i(k) + 1$. We recall that $L_0 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_F^{a_i(0)} v_i$, and we set $\mathcal{B}' = (\varpi_F^{a_1(0)} v_1, \dots, \varpi_F^{a_n(0)} v_n)$, which we write as $\mathcal{B}' = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$. We use this basis to identify G with G_n. With this choice, one has $J \subset K_n$ because $J \subset \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathfrak{o}_F}(L_0)$. The group P identifies with P_n, the group N identifies with N_n, and the character ψ identifies with

$$\psi_t : n \mapsto \theta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i n_{i,i+1}\right),$$

where $t_i = \varpi_F^{a_i(0) - a_{i+1}(0)}$.

For our computation to come, it will be useful to notice the following property of $\mathcal{B}'$: one has

$$L_0 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathfrak{o}_F w_i, \qquad L_k = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathfrak{p}_F^{a_i(k)-a_i(0)} w_i \oplus \mathfrak{o}_F w_n,$$

for $k \in \{1, \dots, e-1\}$. As $\varpi_E L_k = L_{k+1}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the properties above and the fact that $L_{k+e} = \varpi_F L_k$, imply that the last row of $\varpi_E^i \in G_n$ belongs to $(\mathfrak{o}_F)^n - (\mathfrak{p}_F)^n$ for $i = 0, \dots, e-1$, and more generally that it belongs to $(\mathfrak{p}_F^l)^n - (\mathfrak{p}_F^{l+1})^n$ if $i = le + r$, with $r \in \{0, \dots, e-1\}$. As an immediate consequence, if we write an Iwasawa decomposition of ϖ_E^i,

$$\varpi_E^i = p_i z_i k_i, \qquad p_i \in P_n,\ z_i \in Z_n,\ k_i \in K_n,$$

we can choose $z_i = I_n$ for $i = 0, \dots, e-1$, and more generally $z_i = \varpi_F^l I_n$ for $i = le + r$, with $r \in \{0, \dots, e-1\}$. In particular $|p_i| = q^{-in/e}$, for $i = 0, \dots, e-1$.

For clarity, we list the properties of the data (J, Λ, ψ_t) that we will use.

Proposition 3.1. With the above choice of basis we have:

i. The inclusion $J \subset K_n$.

ii. The space $\mathrm{Hom}_{N_n \cap J}(\psi_t, \Lambda) \neq 0$.

iii. Set $\mathcal{M} = (P_n \cap J) J^1$, then $|J/\mathcal{M}| = q_E^m - 1$.

iv. The element $\varpi_E^i \in P_n K_n$ if and only if $i \in \{0, \dots, e-1\}$ and, in this case, if we choose $p_i \in P_n$ and $k_i \in K_n$, such that $\varpi_E^i = p_i k_i$, then we have $|p_i| = |\varpi_E^i| = q^{-in/e}$.

For the remainder, we consider the $k_i \in K_n$ and $p_i \in P_n$ chosen in Proposition 3.1 Statement iv as fixed. A certain volume will appear in our computation, we compute it already:

Lemma 3.2. There is a power of q which we denote by u, such that, for any $i \in \{0, \dots, e-1\}$, we have

$$dk((P_n \cap K_n) \backslash (P_n \cap K_n) k_i J) = u(q^{n/e} - 1) q^{-in/e}.$$

Proof. We have

$$dk((P_n \cap K_n)\backslash(P_n \cap K_n)k_i J) = dk((P_n \cap K_n)\backslash(P_n \cap K_n)k_i J k_i^{-1}) = dk((P_n \cap k_i J k_i^{-1})\backslash k_i J k_i^{-1}).$$

Now, $dk(k_i J k_i^{-1}) = dk(J)$. We also notice that

$$p_i(P_n \cap k_i J k_i^{-1}) p_i^{-1} = P_n \cap \varpi_E^i J \varpi_E^{-i} = P_n \cap J,$$

hence

$$P_n \cap k_i J k_i^{-1} = p_i^{-1}(P_n \cap J)p_i.$$

As for any compact open subset A of P_n, one has $dp(pAp^{-1}) = |p|dp(A)$, as is easily seen by writing $dp = dgdu$, with dg on G_{n-1} and du on U_n, we obtain the relation

$$dp(P_n \cap k_i J k_i^{-1}) = |p_i|^{-1} dp(P_n \cap J) = q^{in/e} dp(P_n \cap J),$$

hence the relation

$$dk((P_n \cap k_i J k_i^{-1}) \backslash k_i J k_i^{-1}) = q^{-in/e} dk((P_n \cap J) \backslash J).$$

Finally, because $\mathcal{M} = (P_n \cap J)J^1$, the volume $dk((P_n \cap J)\backslash J))$ is equal to $dk(\mathcal{M}\backslash J)$ up to a power of q, i.e. equal to $(q_E^m - 1) = (q^{n/e} - 1)$ up to a power of q. This concludes the proof. □

4 Explicit Whittaker functions of Paskunas–Stevens

In this Section we continue to assume that $R = \mathbb{C}$ or $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$. We now recall the definition and some properties of the explicit Whittaker functions of [13]. We recall that there is a pro-p open subgroup H^1 of J^1 attached by [3] to the data $(\mathbf{J}, \Lambda)$. We set

$$\mathcal{U} = (N_n \cap J)H^1.$$

We extend ψ_t to the group $\mathcal{U}$ as in [13, Definition 4.2], and, by abuse of notation, denote this extension by ψ_t. We fix a normal compact open subgroup $\mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{U}$ contained in $\ker(\psi_t)$. We also denote by χ the trace character of Λ and $\chi^\vee$ that of $\Lambda^\vee$.

Definition 4.1 (*Bessel functions*)**.** For $j \in \mathbf{J}$, we define

$$\mathcal{J}(j) = |\mathcal{N}\backslash\mathcal{U}| \sum_{\mathcal{N}\backslash\mathcal{U}} \psi_t(u)^{-1}\chi(ju),$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}^\vee(j) = |\mathcal{N}\backslash\mathcal{U}| \sum_{\mathcal{N}\backslash\mathcal{U}} \psi_t(u)\chi^\vee(ju).$$

The following relation follows from a simple change of variables, and the relation $\chi^\vee(ab) = \chi(b^{-1}a^{-1})$ for any a and b in $\mathbf{J}$.

Lemma 4.2. For all $j \in \mathbf{J}$, we have the relation

$$\mathcal{J}^\vee(j) = \mathcal{J}(j^{-1}).$$

By [13, Proposition 5.3 and Theorem 5.6], the Bessel functions enjoy the following properties. Notice that the second property follows from [loc. cit., Property (v)], from an obvious change of variable.

Proposition 4.3. i. We have the equality $\mathcal{J}(1) = 1$.

ii. For all j_1 and j_2 in $\mathbf{J}$, we have

$$\sum_{m \in \mathcal{U}\backslash\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{J}(j_1 m^{-1})\mathcal{J}(m j_2) = \mathcal{J}(j_1 j_2).$$

We can now define the explicit Whittaker functions W and $W^\vee$ of Paskunas–Stevens following [13, Section 5.2] and recall a first property.

Definition 4.4. Both W and $W^\vee$ are supported on $N_n\mathbf{J}$, and

$$W(nj) = \psi_t(n)\mathcal{J}(j)$$

for $n \in N_n$ and $j \in \mathbf{J}$, whereas

$$W^\vee(nj) = \psi_t^{-1}(n)\mathcal{J}^\vee(j) = \psi_t^{-1}(n)\mathcal{J}(j^{-1})$$

for $n \in N_n$ and $j \in \mathbf{J}$. Moreover, W belongs to $W(\pi, \psi_t)$ and $W^\vee$ belongs to $W(\pi^\vee, \psi_t^{-1})$.

We now prove further properties of W and $W^\vee$.

Proposition 4.5. For $l \geqslant 0$, let $W_l = \mathbf{1}_{G_n^l} W$, and $W_l^\vee = \mathbf{1}_{G_n^l} W^\vee$.

i. The functions $(W_l) \mid_{P_nK_n}$ and $(W_l)^\vee \mid_{P_nK_n}$ are zero unless $l = in/e$ for some $i \in \{0, \dots, e-1\}$, and in this case

$$(W_l) \mid_{P_nK_n} = (\mathbf{1}_{N_n\varpi_E^i J} W_l) \mid_{P_nK_n}, \qquad (W_l^\vee) \mid_{P_nK_n} = (\mathbf{1}_{N_n\varpi_E^i J} W_l^\vee) \mid_{P_nK_n}.$$

ii. If $W_i(pk) \neq 0$, then $i \in \{0, \dots, e-1\}$, $k \in P_n\varpi_E^i J$, and, in fact, $k \in (P_n \cap K_n)k_iJ$.

iii. If $W_i(p\varpi_E^i j) \neq 0$ with $p \in P_n$ and $j \in J$, then $p \in N_n(P_n \cap J)$.

Proof. The first statement follows from the fact that W is supported on $N_n\mathbf{J} = \coprod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} N_n\varpi_E^i J$, this is a disjoint union because the absolute value of the determinant on $N_n\varpi_E^i J$ is $q^{-ni/e}$, and Statement iv of Proposition 3.1. Hence, if $W_i(pk) \neq 0$, then $W(pk) \neq 0$, so $pk \in N_n\varpi_E^j J$ for a unique $j \in \{0, \dots, e-1\}$, but this j must be equal to i, and this gives the first assertion of the second statement. In particular $k \in p^{-1}N_n\varpi_E^i J \subset P_n\varpi_E^i J$. But $P_n\varpi_E^i J = P_np_ik_iJ = P_nk_iJ$, hence $k \in P_nk_iJ \cap K_n = (P_n \cap K_n)k_iJ$. This proves the second statement. For the third, we observe that if $W_i(p\varpi_E^i j) \neq 0$, then $p\varpi_E^i j \in N_n\varpi_E^i J$, hence $p \in N_n\varpi_E^i Jj^{-1}\varpi_E^{-i} = N_nJ$, which implies that $p \in N_n(P_n \cap J)$. ☐

5 The main result

Again, we assume that $R = \mathbb{C}$, or $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$, and π_1 and π_2 are cuspidal R-representations of G_n. This section is dedicated to proving our main result on test vectors.

Theorem 5.1. Suppose that $L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2)$ is non-trivial, so that $\pi_2 \simeq \chi\pi_1^\vee$ for some unramified character χ of $F^\times$. Then there is a power μ of q, such that

$$I(X, W_1, W_2, 1_{\mathfrak{o}_F^n}) = (q-1)(q^{n/e}-1)\frac{\mu}{1-(\chi(\varpi_F)X)^{n/e}} = \mu(q-1)(q^{n/e}-1)L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2).$$

First, we continue with the notation of Section 4, with π a cuspidal representation containing the extended maximal simple type $(\mathbf{J}, \Lambda)$, $e = e(E/F)$ the ramification index of the field extension associated to $(\mathbf{J}, \Lambda)$, and $W, W^\vee$ the explicit Whittaker functions of Definition 4.4. We are now ready to prove the following crucial proposition.

Proposition 5.2. Let $F_i : (K_n \cap P_n)\backslash K_n/J^1 \to R$ be defined by

$$F_i(k) = \int_{j\in J^1} \int_{N_n\backslash P_n} W_i(pkj)W_i^\vee(pkj)dpdj.$$

Then F_i is nonzero if an only if $i \in \{0, \ldots, e-1\}$, and in this case, it is supported on $(K_n \cap P_n)k_i J$. Moreover, then for $i \in \{0, \ldots, e-1\}$, and for $k \in (K_n \cap P_n)k_i J$, there is a power λ of q, independent of i and of k, such that

$$F_i(k) = \lambda.$$

Proof. The assertion about support follows at once from the second statement of Proposition 4.5. Moreover, from the same statement, fix $i \in \{0, \ldots, e-1\}$, and $k_0 \in P_n \varpi_E^i J$. We can write $k = p_0 \varpi_E^i j_0$ for $p_0 \in P_n$ and $j_0 \in J$. But now notice that for such a k, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_i(k) &= \int_{j \in J^1} \int_{N_n \backslash P_n} W_i(pp_0 \varpi_E^i j_0 j) W_i^\vee(pp_0 \varpi_E^i j_0 j) dp dj \\ &= \int_{j \in J^1} \int_{N_n \backslash P_n} W_i(p \varpi_E^i j_0 j) W_i^\vee(p \varpi_E^i j_0 j) dp dj. \end{aligned}$$

hence by Statement iii of Proposition 4.5:

$$\begin{aligned} F_i(k) &= \int_{j \in J^1} \int_{N_n \backslash N_n(P_n \cap J)} W_i(p \varpi_E^i j_0 j) W_i^\vee(p \varpi_E^i j_0 j) dp dj \\ &= \int_{j \in J^1} \int_{N_n \cap J \backslash P_n \cap J} W_i(m \varpi_E^i j_0 j) W_i^\vee(m \varpi_E^i j_0 j) dm dj \\ &= \int_{j \in J^1} \int_{N_n \cap J \backslash P_n \cap J} \mathcal{J}(m \varpi_E^i j_0 j) \mathcal{J}(j^{-1} j_0^{-1} \varpi_E^{-i} m^{-1}) dm dj, \end{aligned}$$

the last equality according to Lemma 4.2. Now, as $\mathbf{J}$ normalises J^1, and as for any $t \in G_n$ normalising J^1, the automorphism $j \mapsto tjt^{-1}$ of J^1 has modulus character equal to $\mathbf{1}$, because J^1 is an open subgroup of the unimodular group G_n, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_i(k) &= \int_{j \in J^1} \int_{N_n \cap J \backslash P_n \cap J} \mathcal{J}(mj \varpi_E^i j_0) \mathcal{J}(j_0^{-1} \varpi_E^{-i} (mj)^{-1}) dm dj \\ &= \int_{N_n \cap J \backslash \mathcal{M}} \mathcal{J}(m \varpi_E^i j_0) \mathcal{J}(j_0^{-1} \varpi_E^{-i} m^{-1}) dm. \end{aligned}$$

As H^1 is pro-p, there is an element λ which is a power of q, such that

$$F_i(k) = \lambda \int_{\mathcal{U} \backslash \mathcal{M}} \mathcal{J}(m \varpi_E^i j) \mathcal{J}(j^{-1} \varpi_E^{-i} m^{-1}) dm = \lambda,$$

the last equality thanks to Statement ii of Proposition 4.3. ☐

Proposition 5.3. The coefficient

$$c_i = \int_{P_n \cap K_n \backslash K_n} \int_{N_n \backslash P_n} W_i(pk) W_i^\vee(pk) dp dk$$

is zero unless $i \in \{0, \ldots, e-1\}$, in which case

$$c_i = \mu q^{-in/e},$$

with μ a power of q.

Proof. By definition, c_i is equal to

$$\int_{P_n \cap K_n \backslash K_n / J^1} F_i(k) dk = \lambda' \int_{P_n \cap K_n \backslash K_n} F_i(k) dk$$

for λ' a power of q, as J^1 is pro-p. But this last integral is equal to

$$\int_{P_n \cap K_n \backslash (P_n \cap K_n) k_i J} F_i(k) dk = \lambda dk(P_n \cap K_n \backslash (P_n \cap K_n) k_i J),$$

according to Proposition 5.2. The result now follows from Lemma 3.2. ☐

If π is a cuspidal R-representation of G_n of ramification index e, we denote by $R(\pi)$ its *ramification group*, that is the group of unramified characters of $F^\times$ which fix π by twisting. It follows from [3, 6.2.5], that $R(\pi)$ is isomorphic to the group of n/e-th roots of unity in $R^\times$, via $\chi \mapsto \chi(\varpi_F)$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We first suppose that $\pi_2 \simeq \pi_1^\vee$, and set $\pi = \pi_1$. In this case, we choose W_1 to be the Paskunas–Stevens Whittaker function W of Definition 4.4 (associated to ψ_t), and $W_2 = W^\vee$, and put $\Phi = \mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{o}_F^n}$. By Equation (1), the integral $I(X, W, W^\vee, \mathbf{1}^n_{\mathfrak{o}_F})$ is equal to

$$\frac{q-1}{1-X^n}\int_{(K_n\cap P_n)\backslash K_n} I_{(0)}(X, \rho(k)W, \rho(k)W^\vee)dk,$$

(cf. [9, Proof of Proposition 4.7]). Now, as $WW^\vee = \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} W_l W_l^\vee$, by Statement i of Proposition 4.5, and Proposition 5.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{(K_n\cap P_n)\backslash K_n} I_{(0)}(X, \rho(k)W, \rho(k)W^\vee)dk &= \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} c_i q^{in/e} X^{in/e}\\ &= \mu(q^{n/e}-1)\sum_{i=0}^{e-1} X^{in/e} = \mu(q^{n/e}-1)\frac{1-X^n}{1-X^{n/e}}.\end{aligned}$$

This gives the equality

$$I(X, W, W^\vee, \mathbf{1}^n_{\mathfrak{o}_F}) = (q-1)(q^{n/e}-1)\frac{\mu}{1-X^{n/e}}.$$

On the other hand, and by [6, Proposition 8.1], the factor $L(X, \pi, \pi^\vee)$ is equal to

$$L(X, \pi, \pi^\vee) = \prod_{\chi\in R(\pi)} \frac{1}{1-\chi(\varpi_f)X} = \frac{1}{1-X^{n/e}}.$$

Now in general, as we supposed that $L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2)$ is not equal to 1, we have $\pi_2 \simeq \chi \otimes \pi_1^\vee$ for some unramified character χ of $F^\times$. However, we have

$$L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2) = L(X, \pi_1, \chi\otimes\pi_1^\vee) = L(\chi(\varpi_F)X, \pi_1, \pi_1^\vee).$$

On the other hand, if $W_1 = W$ is the Paskunas–Stevens Whittaker function of π_1, then $W_2 = \chi\otimes W^\vee$ belongs to $W(\pi_2, \psi_t^{-1})$, and

$$\begin{aligned}I(X, W, \chi\otimes W^\vee, \mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{o}_F{}^n}) &= I(\chi(\varpi_F)X, W, W^\vee, \mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{o}_F{}^n})\\ &= (q-1)(q^{n/e}-1)\frac{\mu}{1-(\chi(\varpi_F)X)^{n/e}}.\end{aligned}$$

However,

$$L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2) = L(\chi(\varpi_F)X, \pi, \pi^\vee) = \frac{1}{1-(\chi(\varpi_F)X)^{n/e}},$$

and we are done. ☐

6 L-factors of banal cuspidal ℓ-modular representations

In this section, we consider the cases $R = \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$, and $R = \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$. In the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ setting, we continue with the notations of the last section, and note that as θ is integral, so are ψ_t and ψ_t^{-1}. Our main theorem has the following interesting corollary.

Corollary 6.1. Let τ_1 and τ_2 be two banal cuspidal ℓ-modular representations of G_n, and π_1 and π_2 be any cuspidal ℓ-adic lifts, then

$$L(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) = r_\ell(L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2)).$$

Proof. We already noticed in the introduction that if $L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2)$ is equal to 1, then

$$L(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) = r_\ell(L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2)) = 1,$$

whether π_1 and π_2 are banal or not. Hence we only need to focus on the case when $L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2)$ is not equal to 1. Let W_1 and W_2 be the Stevens-Paskunas explicit Whittaker functions associated to extended maximal simple types of τ_1 and τ_2, as in the statement of Theorem 5.1.

Lemma 6.2. The explicit Whittaker functions W_1 and W_2 lie in the $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$-submodules $W_e(\pi_1, \psi_t)$ and $W_e(\pi_2, \psi_t)$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 5.1, the representation π_1 contains an extended maximal simple type $(\mathbf{J}_1, \Lambda_1)$ and W_1 is chosen to be the Paskunas–Stevens Whittaker function of Definition 4.4 relative to this data. As π_1 is integral if and only if its central character is integral, the representation Λ_1 must be integral. This implies that its character χ_{Λ_1} has values in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$. Hence, as ψ_t is integral, $W_1 \in W_e(\pi_1, \psi_t)$ by Definition 4.4. Now, π_2 is of the form $\chi\pi_1^\vee$ with χ an unramified character of $F^\times$. Hence, π_2 contains the extended maximal simple type $(\mathbf{J}_1, \chi\Lambda_1^\vee)$, and from the proof of Theorem 5.1, the Whittaker function W_2 is equal to $\chi W_1^\vee$. The character $\chi_{\chi\Lambda_1^\vee} : j \mapsto \chi_{\chi^{-1}\Lambda_1}(g^{-1})$ has values in $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$, and ψ_t^{-1} is integral. Hence $W_2 \in W_e(\pi_2, \psi_t)$. ☐

Granted $W_1 \in W_e(\pi_1, \psi_t)$ and $W_2 \in W_e(\pi_2, \psi_t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_\ell(\mu)(q-1)(q^{n/e}-1) r_\ell(L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2)) &= r_\ell(I(X, W_1, W_2, \Phi)) \\ &= I(X, r_\ell(W_1), r_\ell(W_2), r_\ell(\mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{o}_F^n})). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $r_\ell(\mu)(q-1)(q^{n/e}-1)$ is nonzero if and only if π_1 (hence π_2) is banal by [12, §6]. As the integral $I(X, r_\ell(W_1), r_\ell(W_2), r_\ell(\mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{o}_F^n}))$ belongs to the fractional ideal $(L(X, \tau_1, \tau_2))$ of $\overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}[X^{\pm 1}]$, we deduce that $r_\ell(L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2))$ divides $L(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$. As in any case, thanks to [9, Theorem 3.14], the L-factor $L(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ divides $r_\ell(L(X, \pi_1, \pi_2))$, we deduce the desired equality. ☐

Remark 6.3.
i. As noticed in the introduction, this is also obviously true when π_1 and π_2 are cuspidal representations of general linear groups of different ranks.

ii. As a corollary to the proof, we see that we have constructed test vectors for banal cuspidal ℓ-modular L-factors by reduction modulo ℓ. In fact, granted the extension of the Bushnell–Kutzko construction to ℓ-modular representations in [14, Chapitre III], one can extend the construction of Section 4 by reduction modulo ℓ, and then the proof of Theorem 5.1 follows *mutatis. mutandis.* for pairs of cuspidal ℓ-modular representations (where one is an unramified twist of the contragredient of the other).

References

[1] A. Balasubramanyam, B. Raghuram. Special values of adjoint L-functions and congruences for automorphic forms on $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ over a number field. arXiv:1408.3896.

[2] I. N. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinsky. Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups. I. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 10(4):441–472, 1977.

[3] Colin J. Bushnell and Philip C. Kutzko. *The admissible dual of* $\mathrm{GL}(N)$ *via compact open subgroups*, volume 129 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

[4] J.W. Cogdell and I.I. Piatetski-Shapiro. Derivatives and L-functions for $\mathrm{GL}(n)$. Available at https://people.math.osu.edu/cogdell.1/.

[5] H. Grobner and M. Harris. Whittaker periods, motivic periods, and special values of tensor product L-functions. to appear in J. Inst. Math. Jussieu.

[6] H. Jacquet, I.I. Piatetski-Shapiro, and J.A. Shalika. Rankin-Selberg convolutions. *Amer. J. Math.*, 105(2):367–464, 1983.

[7] Hervé Jacquet and Joseph Shalika. A lemma on highly ramified ϵ-factors. *Math. Ann.*, 271(3):319–332, 1985.

[8] Kyung-Mi Kim. *Test vectors of Rankin–Selberg convolutions for general linear groups*. PhD thesis, The Ohio State University.

[9] R. Kurinczuk and N. Matringe. Rankin–Selberg local factors modulo-ℓ. arXiv:1408.5252.

[10] Nadir Matringe. Essential Whittaker functions for $GL(n)$. *Doc. Math.*, 18:1191–1214, 2013.

[11] Alberto Mínguez. Fonctions zêta ℓ-modulaires. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 208:39–65, 2012.

[12] Alberto Mínguez and Vincent Sécherre. Représentations lisses modulo ℓ de $\mathrm{GL}_m(D)$. *Duke Math. J.*, 163(4):795–887, 2014.

[13] Vytautas Paskunas and Shaun Stevens. On the realization of maximal simple types and epsilon factors of pairs. *Amer. J. Math.*, 130(5):1211–1261, 2008.

[14] Marie-France Vignéras. *Représentations ℓ-modulaires d'un groupe réductif p-adique avec $\ell \neq p$*, volume 137 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.

[15] Marie-France Vignéras. On highest Whittaker models and integral structures. In *Contributions to automorphic forms, geometry, and number theory*, pages 773–801. Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 2004.